



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

JH

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,757	12/22/2000	Stephen S. Jackson	2204/A81	4527
34845	7590	04/07/2005	EXAMINER	
STEUBING AND MCGUINESS & MANARAS LLP 125 NAGOG PARK ACTON, MA 01720			KLINGER, SCOTT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/748,757	JACKSON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Scott M. Klinger	<b>Art Unit</b> 2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 January 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                  2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-3,7,8,11-16,18-20 and 22-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-3,7,8,11-16,18-20 and 22-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.   |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11-16, 18-20, and 22-28 are pending.

Claims 4-6, 9, 10, 17, and 21 have been cancelled.

### *Response to Arguments*

**Note:** Applicant's remarks are in **bold** text. Examiner's responses are indented.

|  
Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11-16, and 18-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

**Claim 12 distinguishes the cited references because local storage devices associated with different power integrated networks are interconnected via a non-power integrated network. For example, the storage devices may be associated with different power integrated Ethernet networks which are interconnected via the Internet. In contrast, the cited references, Ishida in particular at Fig. 1, teaches a conventional RAID configuration in which the disks are connected to a RAID controller. Such RAID controllers often utilize a SCSI protocol having relatively limited signal reach. Consequently, the disks may be in close physical proximity. Such a RAID offers protection from failure of disk, but not destruction of the entire array due to events such as building fires. In contrast the presently claimed configuration facilitates implementation of a RAID in which significant geographical distance separates the data storage.**

Ishida was not used to reject claim 12.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "*a RAID in which significant geographical distance separates the data storage*") are not

recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In referring to claim 13, the first storage device and the second storage device are in a raid configuration. This does not necessarily mean that they are in the same RAID configuration.

### ***Claim Objections***

Claim 21 is objected to because it has already been cancelled, when adding a new claim a new number must be used.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida (U.S. Patent Number 6,574,704, hereinafter “Ishida”) in view of McCormack et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,535,983, hereinafter “McCormack”) and in further view of Wilson et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,651,117, hereinafter “Wilson”).

In referring to claim 1, Ishida discloses information storage management device and method, control device and method. Ishida shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including:

- A memory configured to store data; a control module coupled to the memory, the control module for controlling the transmission of data from the memory to the network and the storage of data received from the network in the memory:

*"In this case, the hierarchical storage management unit 2 is comprised of a local area network (LAN) controller 10, a redundant array of inexpensive disks (RAID)--hard disk drive (HDD) controller 11, a small computer system interface (SCSI) controller 12 and a chip set 13 which are mutually connected via a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus 14, as well as a central processing unit (CPU) 15 and a main memory 16 which are connected to the chip set 13."* (Ishida, col. 2, lines 52-60)

However, Ishida does not show the network is a power integrated network and a power module coupled to the memory and the control module, the power module receiving power from the power integrated network to energize the data storage device. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Ishida as evidenced by McCormack.

In analogous art, McCormack discloses a system and method for signaling and detecting requests for power over Ethernet. McCormack shows:

- A power integrated network:

*"It is another object of the invention to provide a system which can be used with existing end devices which allows power and a data signal to be provided over the Ethernet twisted pair connection and also allows existing equipment to be used in this system, without problems resulting."* (McCormack, col. 3, lines 26-30)

- A power module to provide power to the memory of the device:

McCormack, Figure 1 shows a system powered end device 12, which inherently implies a power module to receive power from the network and provide it to said device.

- The power module includes a power converter for converting power received from the first power integrated network from a first voltage level to a second voltage level

*"The hub includes a transformer 40, associated with each port, which isolates the transmitter and related circuitry 16 from the Ethernet twisted pair wiring 14. The transformer 40 is connected to the lines 20 and 22 ..."* (McCormack, col. 7, lines 27-37)

A transformer converts a first voltage level to a second voltage level

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the network of Ishida so as to provide power over the network, and a power module coupled to the memory and the control module, such as taught by McCormack, in order to reduce "*the wiring requirements to transmit data and power to a wireless access point without having to use additional wire pairs.*" (McCormack, col. 2, lines 23-25)

Although Ishida in view of McCormack shows substantial features of the claimed invention, Ishida in view of McCormack does not show the memory is a SCSI memory, and the control module is a SCSI Encapsulation Protocol (SEP) control module. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Ishida in view of McCormack as evidenced by Wilson.

In analogous art, Wilson discloses a network stack layer interface. Wilson Fig. 2 shows a SCSI encapsulation protocol to output the data onto the network.

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Ishida in view of McCormack so as to use a SCSI interface for the memory, such as taught by Wilson, in order to provide "*an interface that provides fast and efficient communication between network stack layers*" (Wilson, col. 2, lines 58-60).

In referring to claim 2, Ishida in view of McCormack and in further view of Wilson shows,

- A network interface coupled to the control module, the network interface configured to communicate with the power integrated network:

*"In this case, the hierarchical storage management unit 2 is comprised of a local area network (LAN) controller 10 ... "* (Ishida, col. 2, lines 52-60, full quote above)

In referring to claim 3, Ishida in view of McCormack and in further view of Wilson shows,

- The control module packages data for transmission over the network:

*"In this case, the hierarchical storage management unit 2 is comprised of a local area network (LAN) controller 10 ... "* (Ishida, col. 2, lines 52-60, full quote above), A LAN controller inherently implies that the data would be packaged for transmission of the network

In referring to claims 4, Ishida in view of McCormack and in further view of Wilson shows,

- The power integrated network is a Power Ethernet network:

*"It is another object of the invention to provide a system which can be used with existing end devices which allows power ... to be provided over the Ethernet twisted pair connection ... "* (McCormack, col. 3, lines 26-30, full quote above)

In referring to claim 5, Ishida in view of McCormack and in further view of Wilson shows,

- The memory is a device including a SCSI interface:

*"... a small computer system interface (SCSI) controller 12 and a chip set 13 which are mutually connected via a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus 14, as well as a central processing unit (CPU) 15 and a main memory 16 which are connected to the chip set 13."* (Ishida, col. 2, lines 52-60, full quote above)

In referring to claim 7, Ishida in view of McCormack and in further view of Wilson shows,

- The second voltage level is lower than the first voltage level:

McCormack, Figure 5B shows that during the operating phase, a voltage of +14/-14 is applied across the Ethernet cable, Figure 7B shows the network side of the operating phase, it is shown that the first voltage is converted to a second voltage of 5 volts

In referring to claim 8, Ishida discloses information storage management device and method, control device and method. Ishida shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including:

- Coupling a data storage device to the power integrated network, the data storage device configured to communicate with a network; receiving data at the data storage device from the network:

*"In this case, the hierarchical storage management unit 2 is comprised of a local area network (LAN) controller 10, a redundant array of inexpensive disks (RAID)--hard disk drive (HDD) controller 11, a small computer system interface (SCSI) controller 12 and a chip set 13 which are mutually connected via a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus 14, as well as a central processing unit (CPU) 15 and a main memory 16 which are connected to the chip set 13."* (Ishida, col. 2, lines 52-60)

However, Ishida does not show the network is a power integrated network and a power module coupled to the memory and the control module, the power module receiving power from the power integrated network to energize the data storage device. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Ishida as evidenced by McCormack.

In analogous art, McCormack discloses a system and method for signaling and detecting requests for power over Ethernet. McCormack shows:

- A power integrated network:

*"It is another object of the invention to provide a system which can be used with existing end devices which allows power and a data signal to be provided over the Ethernet twisted pair connection and also allows existing equipment to be used in this system, without problems resulting."* (McCormack, col. 3, lines 26-30)

- A power module to provide power to the memory of the device:

McCormack, Figure 1 shows a system powered end device 12, which inherently implies a power module to receive power from the network and provide it to said device.

- The power module includes a power converter for converting power received from the first power integrated network from a first voltage level to a second voltage level:

*McCormack, col. 7, lines 27-37* (see full quote above); a transformer converts a first voltage level to a second voltage level

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the network of Ishida so as to provide power over the network, and a power module coupled to the memory and the control module, such as taught by McCormack, in order to reduce "*the wiring requirements to transmit data and power to a wireless access point without having to use additional wire pairs.*" (McCormack, col. 2, lines 23-25)

Although Ishida in view of McCormack shows substantial features of the claimed invention, Ishida in view of McCormack does not show the memory is a SCSI memory, and the control module is a SCSI Encapsulation Protocol (SEP) control module. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Ishida in view of McCormack as evidenced by Wilson.

In analogous art, Wilson discloses a network stack layer interface. Wilson Fig. 2 shows a SCSI encapsulation protocol to output the data onto the network.

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of Ishida in view of McCormack so as to use a SCSI interface for the memory, such as taught by Wilson, in order to provide "*an interface that provides fast and efficient communication between network stack layers*" (Wilson, col. 2, lines 58-60).

In referring to claim 9, Ishida in view of McCormack and in further view of Wilson shows,

- The power integrated network is a Power Ethernet network:

*"It is another object of the invention to provide a system which can be used with existing end devices which allows power ... to be provided over the Ethernet twisted pair connection ..."* (McCormack, col. 3, lines 26-30, full quote above)

In referring to claim 11, Ishida in view of McCormack and in further view of Wilson shows,

- The second voltage level is lower than the first voltage level:

Art Unit: 2153

McCormack, Figure 5B shows that during the operating phase, a voltage of +14/-14 is applied across the Ethernet cable, Figure 7B shows the network side of the operating phase, it is shown that the first voltage is converted to a second voltage of 5 volts

---

Claims 12, 14-16, 18, 19, and 22-25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,523,696, hereinafter "Saito") in view of McCormack.

In referring to claim 12, Saito discloses a communication control device for realizing uniform service providing environment. Saito shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including a first network that provides data; a specified network coupled to the first network so that data may be transmitted from and received by the first network across the specified network; a second network that provides data coupled to the specified network so that data may be transmitted from and received by the second network across the specified network; a first data storage device coupled to the first network, the first data storage device configured to communicate with the first network; a second data storage device coupled to the second network, the second data storage device configured to communicate with the second network: Saito, Figure 7, shows a first network (with a storage device 206) connected to a specified network 202, and a second network with a storage device 210

However, Saito does not show the first and second networks are power integrated networks and power modules coupled to the memory of the devices and the control modules, the power modules receiving power from the power integrated networks to energize the data storage devices. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by Saito as evidenced by McCormack.

In analogous art, McCormack discloses a system and method for signaling and detecting requests for power over Ethernet. McCormack shows the first and second networks are power integrated network: *"It is another object of the invention to provide a system which can be used with existing end devices which allows power and a data signal to be provided over the Ethernet"*

*twisted pair connection and also allows existing equipment to be used in this system, without problems resulting.”* (McCormack, col. 3, lines 26-30)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the network of Saito so as to provide power over the first and second networks, as taught by McCormack, in order to reduce “*the wiring requirements to transmit data and power to a wireless access point without having to use additional wire pairs.*” (McCormack, col. 2, lines 23-25)

In referring to claim 14, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The first power integrated network is a Power Ethernet network  
*“It is another object of the invention to provide a system which can be used with existing end devices which allows power ... to be provided over the Ethernet twisted pair connection ...”* (McCormack, col. 3, lines 26-30, full quote above)

In referring to claim 15, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The second power integrated network is a Power Ethernet network  
*“It is another object of the invention to provide a system which can be used with existing end devices which allows power ... to be provided over the Ethernet twisted pair connection ...”* (McCormack, col. 3, lines 26-30, full quote above)

In referring to claim 16, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The specified network is the Internet:  
*“FIG. 7 shows a system configuration according to this second embodiment, in which two home networks are interconnected through a public network 202. The public network 202 can be a telephone network, a broadband network such as ISDN, a dedicated connection, or Internet, although preferably a network that can satisfy a communication bandwidth necessary for utilizing and providing services should be used.”* (Saito, col. 18, lines 49-55)

In referring to claim 18, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The first data storage device further includes a network interface coupled to the control module, the network interface configured to communicate with the first network:  
*"The first home network comprises a first IEEE 1394 bus 201, to which a first AV connection device 204, a personal computer (PC) 206, and a digital TV 207 are connected."* (Saito, col. 18, lines 57-59)

In referring to claim 19, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The control module packages data for transmission over the first network  
*"The first home network comprises a first IEEE 1394 bus 201, to which a first AV connection device 204, a personal computer (PC) 206, and a digital TV 207 are connected."* (Saito, col. 18, lines 57-59)

A personal computer connected to a network inherently implies a control module to package data for transmission over said network

In referring to claim 21 (which has been cancelled), Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The power module includes a power converter for converting power received from the first power integrated network from a first voltage level to a second voltage level  
*McCormack, col. 7, lines 27-37* (see full quote above); a transformer converts a first voltage level to a second voltage level

In referring to claim 22, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The second voltage level is lower than the first voltage level:  
McCormack, Figure 5B shows that during the operating phase, a voltage of +14/-14 is applied across the Ethernet cable, Figure 7B shows the network side of the operating phase, it is shown that the first voltage is converted to a second voltage of 5 volts

In referring to claim 23, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- A memory configured to store the data; a control module coupled to the memory, the control module for controlling the transmission of data from the memory to the second network and the storage of data received from the second network in the memory:  
*"To the second IEEE 1394 bus 203 of the second home network, a second AV connection device 205, a DVD player 208, a digital VTR 209, a PC 210, and a printer 211 are connected, where the PC 210 is also connected to the home automation network 212. Apart from the PC 210, an air conditioner 213 and a microwave oven 214 are also connected to the home automation network 212."* (Saito, col. 18, line 66 – col. 19, line 5)  
A personal computer connected to a network inherently implies memory, and a control module to control data transmission over said network
- A power module coupled to the memory area and the control module, the power module receiving power from the second power integrated network:  
A power module is inherently implied in a Power-over-Ethernet system

In referring to claim 24, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The second data storage device further includes a network interface coupled to the control module, the network interface configured to communicate with the second network:  
*"To the second IEEE 1394 bus 203 of the second home network ... a PC 210, and a printer 211 are connected, where the PC 210 is also connected to the home automation network 212 ..."* (Saito, col. 18, line 66 – col. 19, line 5, full quote above)

In referring to claim 25, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The control module packages data for transmission over the second network:  
*"To the second IEEE 1394 bus 203 of the second home network ... a PC 210, and a printer 211 are connected, where the PC 210 is also connected to the home automation network 212 ..."* (Saito, col. 18, line 66 – col. 19, line 5, full quote above)

Art Unit: 2153

A personal computer connected to a network inherently implies a control module to package data for transmission over said network

In referring to claim 27, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The power module includes a power converter for converting power received from the power integrated network from a first voltage level to a second voltage level:

*McCormack, col. 7, lines 27-37* (see full quote above); a transformer converts a first voltage level to a second voltage level

In referring to claim 28, Saito in view of McCormack shows,

- The second voltage level is lower than the first voltage level:

McCormack, Figure 5B shows that during the operating phase, a voltage of +14/-14 is applied across the Ethernet cable, Figure 7B shows the network side of the operating phase, it is shown that the first voltage is converted to a second voltage of 5 volts

Claims 13, 20, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito in view of McCormack in further view of Ishida. Although Saito in view of McCormack shows substantial features of the claimed invention, including the system of claims 12, 17, and 23, shown above, Saito in view of McCormack does not show a SCSI interface or that the storage devices are in a RAID configuration. Nonetheless these features are well known in the art and would have been an obvious implementation of the system disclosed by Saito in view of McCormack as evidenced by Ishida.

In analogous art, Ishida discloses an information storage management device and method, control device and method. Ishida shows:

- In referring to claim 13, the first storage device and the second storage device are in a RAID configuration:

*"In this case, the hierarchical storage management unit 2 is comprised of a local area network (LAN) controller 10, a redundant array of inexpensive disks (RAID)--hard disk drive (HDD) controller 11, a small computer system interface (SCSI) controller 12 and a chip set 13 which are mutually connected via a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus 14, as well as a central processing unit (CPU) 15 and a main memory 16 which are connected to the chip set 13."* (Ishida, col. 2, lines 52-60)

- In referring to claims 20 and 26, the memory area is a device including a SCSI interface:  
*"... a small computer system interface (SCSI) controller 12 and a chip set 13 which are mutually connected via a peripheral component interconnect (PCI) bus 14, as well as a central processing unit (CPU) 15 and a main memory 16 which are connected to the chip set 13."* (Ishida, col. 2, lines 52-60, full quote above)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of implementing the system of Saito in view of McCormack so as to uses SCSI interfaces and a RAID configuration, such as taught by Ishida, in order to provide a high aggregate transfer rate, and error correction.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Klinger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8285. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott M. Klinger  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2153

smk



GLENTON B. BURGESS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100